Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No	Applicant	i(s)
	10/681,567	MURAKAI	MI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Berna	tz 1773	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Kevin M. Bernatz</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Joseph Maenner</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 31 January 2007	Time: <u><i>AM</i></u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representati No	ive)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: all			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE O	F WHAT WAS DISCUSS	SED:
Part III.			•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will perparate record of the sub	rovide a written summar estance of the interview,	ry of the substance since the interview
		•	
IL In las			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Repr	resentative Signature – if	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner proposed some claim amendments to bring the case into condition for allowance for the reasons noted in the attached Notice of Allowability. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes, as well as proposing some additional changes to better clarify the claimed invention. The Examiner agreed to the proposed additional changes as not materially effecting the patentability of the claimed invention.